

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Saturday, 10 October 2020 6:38 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted

Page Title Code of Meeting Practice

Name

Email

Comment - 1000
characters max

Attach a file

Subscribe to the monthly
Ku-ring-gai E-news and
stay up to date on local
news and events

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

I support this move to retain webcast of council meeting for a minimum of 4 years. This ensures transparency and allows residents to review important council meetings. This box is for submissions only - if you have a question please call Council on 9424 0000 to ensure a response

No file attached

No

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Monday, 19 October 2020 7:21 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted

Page Title

Code of Meeting Practice

Name

[REDACTED]

Email

[REDACTED]

Comment - 1000 characters max

S12343/2 I am in favour of the retention of council meetings. I would like as they exist for backdated meetings to be made available as well as future ones.

Attach a file

No file attached

Subscribe to the monthly Ku-ring-gai
E-news and stay up to date on local
news and events

Yes

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Monday, 19 October 2020 12:55 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted

Page Title Code of Meeting Practice

Name

[REDACTED]

Email

[REDACTED]

Comment - 1000 characters max

I am in support of the amended version, I would say that Council should not be deleting things from Youtube since there's no space restriction and no effort from Council's part. Council should have the webcast next to where the minutes are so it's easier to find and a playlist of all Council meetings as a Youtube playlist and if possible to make it retrospective if Council have made the previous ones hidden or easily can be re-uploaded (confidential should still remain hidden). If Council wants to add bit more effort of a few minutes, there's a way to timestamp what is being discussed on the video and/or to put it in the description so it can be easily referenced for viewers to look at specific topics. It would be EXTREMELY USEFUL if Council does not delete the September meeting because of the housing strategy debate.

Attach a file

No file attached

Subscribe to the monthly Ku-ring-gai E-news and stay up to date on local news and events
Already a subscriber

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Saturday, 17 October 2020 5:24 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted

Page Title

Code of Meeting Practice

Name

[REDACTED]

Email

[REDACTED]

Comment - 1000 characters max

I fully support the proposal to retain these recordings for 4 years

Attach a file

No file attached

Subscribe to the monthly Ku-ring-gai E-news and stay up to date on local news and events

No

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Thursday, 29 October 2020 4:43 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted
Attachments: Submission_on_Proposed_New_Code_of_Meeting_Practice.docx

| | |
|--|--|
| Page Title | Code of Meeting Practice |
| Name | [REDACTED] |
| Email | [REDACTED] |
| Comment - 1000 characters max | please see attached comments |
| Attach a file | Submission_on_Proposed_New_Code_of_Meeting_Practice.docx (Attached) |
| Subscribe to the monthly Ku-ring-gai E-news and stay up to date on local news and events | Yes |

Submission on Proposed New Code of Meeting Practice – David Castle - 29/10/20

- 1) I applaud the proposed changes to clause 5.22, which are in accordance with the legislation, Model Code and current practice.
- 2) I think the drafting could be improved if the first sentence were re-worded to read as follows:
"A recording of each meeting of the council and committee of the council is to be retained on the council's website **for a minimum of four years from the date of that meeting**". (New wording in bold).
- 3) I also approve the new clause 8.1, as I assume it is in accordance with the current meeting practice followed by the council.
- 4) Although clause 11.2 (casting vote) is not proposed to be changed and is not covered by the public consultation, nonetheless I express the concern of myself and a number of my colleagues to the number of times the casting vote is used by the Mayor at meetings of the Council. Traditional common law would require a casting vote to be used sparingly and usually in support of the status quo. Unfortunately neither the legislation nor the Model Code qualifies the manner in which the casting vote can and should be used. Perhaps this should be a matter for further investigation and public comment.

Regards

, Lindfield.

Rebecca Srbinovska

From: cms@seamlesscms.com
Sent: Friday, 30 October 2020 6:38 PM
To: KMC
Subject: Direct Comment to Council Submitted

Page Title Code of Meeting Practice

Name [REDACTED]

Email [REDACTED]

Comment - 1000 characters max This box is for submissions only - if you have a question please call Council on 9424 0000 to ensure a response Strongly recommend that a video recording of ALL the Community Forums also be retained

Attach a file No file attached

Subscribe to the monthly Kuring-gai E-news and stay up to date on local news and events Yes